

From: [Ortiz, Diana](#)
To: [Meyer, John](#)
Cc: [Kirst, Tina](#); [Ford, Mark](#); [Patrick, Dwayne](#); [Ortiz, Diana](#); [Moran, Gloria](#); [Ragon, Derek](#); [Tzhone, Stephen](#); [Sanchez, Carlos](#); [Lane, Leticia](#)
Subject: FOLLOW-UP TO OUR CALL THIS MORNING: FOIAs for Charles Grisham
Date: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 12:01:20 PM
Attachments: [FW Arkwood Superfund Site EPA ID ARD084930148 Site ID 06A3 - Request for information and official position .msg](#)
[Re Questions raised via email.msg](#)
Importance: High

John,

Below are that FOIAs that were submitted on Mr. Grishams behalf. These FOIAs were pulled from an e-mail sent from Mr. Grisham to Stephne Tzhone, then forwarded to 6SF-VI by Gloria Moran instructing us to submit them as FOIAs. I have attached the e-mail from Gloria.

You indicated that you were going to talk with Carlos & Stephen, as you may need to give Mr. Grisham a call. The FOIAs outline the information he is seeking.

We set up a conference on 06/02/15 with Mr. Grisham to try to get clarification for his request, but when we called him, he informed us that he was instructed by his attorney not to speak to us.

I also wanted to mention that he has been communicating with Mark Ford this past week, and has cc'd the following on some of his e-mails. Cheryl Seager, Ron Curry, Gina McCarthy, Craig Ulmer. I have attached an e-mail.

What the FOIA team needs, is guidance on who to assign to, and how to get the information he is seeking.

[EPA-R6-2015-008322](#)

Could EPA Region 6 Superfund summarize Arkwood's status in a one- or two-page précis that includes (but is not necessarily limited to) EPA's current position on the site with regard to: a) its prospects for future industrial use; b) the major steps planned to help realize those prospects or to rule them out, with timeline; c) the risk the site poses to human health and the environment; and d) remaining milestones that must be achieved before the site can be proposed for deletion from NPL, with timeline?

[EPA-R6-2015-008323](#)

Sometime between September 17, 2013 and September 30, 2013, EPA Region 6 Congressional Liaison LaWanda Thomas provided responses to U.S. Congressman Steve Womack's Projects Director



9595584

Kyle

Weaver's inquiry (please see attached) which included the following statement:

"Arkwood can return to productive use at any time, provided that the remedy is not compromised. The remedy that cannot be compromised consists of addressing the soil and groundwater to numerical cleanup goals as specified in the 1990 Record of Decision (and to be updated with the dioxin re-evaluation) and institutional controls."

Could EPA please clarify and expand upon this statement?

EPA-R6-2015-008325

On October 28, 2013 U.S. Congressman Steve Womack's Projects Director Kyle Weaver wrote to me
(please see attached):

"Congressman Womack's office noted to the EPA that this is an ongoing issue despite nearly 25 years working on it. Our office was assured that EPA is making an effort to expedite clean-up to reach the point of deletion from the NPL. Their response to our inquiry specifically said, 'This site is one of the earliest sites nationwide going through dioxin re-evaluation. EPA will work closely with the landowner, McKesson Corporation, and ADEQ to expedite the cleanup process.'"

Can EPA confirm that the above assessment was an accurate characterization of EPA's intent with regard to Arkwood at the time of Mr. Weaver's writing? If so, has that intent changed since the time of Mr. Weaver's writing? Could EPA please reiterate and update its current position with regard to Mr. Weaver's questions and concerns contained in the full text of the Congressional inquiry and with reference to EPA responses from Ms. Thomas, as attached hereto?

I hope this helps with your call to Mr. Grisham. Please let us know how to proceed.

Thanks

Diana Ortiz
Superfund FOIA Coordinator
Information Management Team (6SF-VI)
(214) 665-7315